

Appl. No.: 09/917,549  
Amdt. Dated July 19, 2005  
Reply to Office action of April 19, 2005

Amendments to the Claims:

This listing of claims will replace all prior versions, and listings, of claims in the application:

Listing of claims:

Claim 1 (currently amended): An anisotropically dry etching process, which comprises:

providing an organic antireflection layer on a semiconductor layer, the semiconductor layer being made of silicon dioxide;

providing a photoresist layer as an etching mask for the organic antireflection layer underneath it;

etching the organic antireflection layer with an etching gas composition containing at least 80% hydrogen and nitrogen;

achieving a selectivity of more than 1:50 of the organic antireflection layer etching in relation to etching the semiconductor layer lying underneath the organic antireflection layer; and

achieving an etching profile with an anisotropy factor of substantially 1; and

Applic. No.: 09/917,549  
Amdt. Dated July 19, 2005  
Reply to Office action of April 19, 2005

setting the etching gas composition with hydrogen and nitrogen for causing a vertical removal of the photoresist to correspond at most to an etching rate of the organic antireflection layer.

Claim 2 (original): The process according to claim 1, which comprises etching the organic antireflection layer with an etching gas composition consisting essentially of hydrogen and nitrogen.

Claim 3 (original): The process according to claim 1, which comprises using hydrogen and nitrogen in a ratio of 1:1.

Claim 4 (cancelled).

Claim 5 (original): The process according to claim 4, wherein the etching gas composition contains, as reactive etching gases, only hydrogen and nitrogen.

Claim 6 (previously presented): The process according to claim 1, wherein the etching gas composition contains additives for improving one of etching gas properties including selectivity, structure accuracy and compatibility with subsequent etching processes in the dry etching process.

Appl. No.: 09/917,549  
Amdt. Dated July 19, 2005  
Reply to Office action of April 19, 2005

Claim 7 (cancelled).

Claim 8 (previously presented): The process according to claim 1, which comprises setting the following process parameters for the reactive ion etching of the organic antireflection layer:

pressure of the etching gases in a range between 2.67 and 26.67 Pa; and

flow of the etching gases in a range between  $0.17 \text{ } 10^{-6}$  and  $1.67 \text{ } 10^{-6} \text{ m}^3 \text{ sec}^{-1}$ .

Claim 9 (previously presented): The process according to claim 8, which comprises exposing an etching object to a magnetic field strength from above 0 to 120 Gauss and processing the object with magnetic field-assisted reactive ion etching.

Claim 10 (previously presented): The process according to claim 1, which comprises etching the organic antireflection layer with a plasma from a source selected from the group consisting of an electron cyclone resonance plasma source, an inductively coupled plasma, and a Helicon source.